



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/780,274 | 02/16/2004 | Giovanni M. Della-Libera | MS1-1858US | 2211 |
| 22801 | 7590 | 12/20/2007 | | |
| LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201 | | | EXAMINER LOUIE, OSCAR A | |
| | | | ART UNIT 2136 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 12/20/2007 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/780,274 | DELLA-LIBERA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Oscar A. Louie | 2136 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Oscar A. Louie. (3) Jeffrey Valley.
 (2) Nasser Moazzami. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 9, 19, 20, 37 and 38.

Identification of prior art discussed: Choy (US-6141754-A), R. Fielding (RFC 1808), Clark et al. ("XML Path Language"), Lynch (US-6487600-B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

NASSER MOAZZAMI
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100


 12/18/07

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative and the examiner discussed the proposed amendments and it was agreed that the prior antecedent basis issues with Claims 9 & 19 would be resolved, the 35 U.S.C. 101 issues with Claim 20 would be addressed, and the proposed amendments if filed as discussed during the telephonic interview would overcome the current rejection made with the prior art of record. In particular, suggestion was made to correct the usage of the term "for" in independent Claims 1 & 20. Omission of the term "when" from Claims 19 & 38 was also suggested.